

Att Chron

3 September 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: FY-74 KEP

STAT 1. In a meeting on 3 September 1974 with [] STAT
(OCNIO) it was learned: a) that on 29 August acting CNIO
[] had requested NIOs to volunteer to prepare
"Fuzzy" Performance Reports on three additional FY-74 KIQs.
Due date for these additional commitments was to be 5 Sep-
tember; b) SEA NIO has had initial meeting on FY-74 Pilot
Program Evaluation Report (a first draft is due on 5 Septem-
ber); c) Latin American NIO had initial meeting on 30 August
STAT (with [] in chair, group had some confusion on gain
statements); d) Western Europe Asst. NIO was to draft a
straw man Gain Statement this week (See [] 3 September
Memo for the Record). STAT

2. With regard to Gain Statements, pointed out to Dave
that the purpose of the Baseline Report -- i.e., what we know
about the KIQ, and in particular about the deficiencies --
was to define a point of reference from which newly acquired
data could only be of two types--confirmatory or additional.
If the latter, then it had to be either a net gain re the
deficiency, or amplification of previously held information.
The determination of whether it was a Gain had to be a NIO
judgment. Made the point that it is in this context that the
need for particularization--sub-KIQs and/or deficiencies--
becomes quite apparent.

3. Have the impression from Dave's remarks and rejoinders
that NIOs would still like to see the KEP go away. This con-
tinued negative attitude is hard to understand, given Mr.
Colby's unambiguous requirement for a Quantitative Evaluation
System.

[]
IC/MPRRD/R&AB

STAT

STAT

cc:



3 September 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting with Assistant NIO Regarding
KEP Performance Instructions

1. []

Assistant NIO for Western Europe, on 3 September 1974. [] was concerned about completing the forms for the FY 74 KEP Pilot Program Performance Report. He asked how the numbers on the Relative Value form were to be derived and how the information gain statements were to be completed.

2. []

STAT [] stated that his KIQ was not the type of KIQ that explicit answers could be obtained on and that information gains would be difficult to determine. After some discussion regarding how the Relative Value numbers could be derived and the information gain statements could be obtained, [] agreed that he would draft a response and coordinate it with [] in turn would then coordinate with []

3. []

STAT [] expressed the desire that he would complete the forms himself rather than meet with his community colleagues at least in the initial draft stages. It was impressed upon him that he should take advantage of community participation and meet with them at some point prior to submitting his final response. [] said that he would draft the response and leave it with [] to deal with, since he, [] would be out of town the rest of September.

[]
IC/MPRRD/R&AB

STAT

cc: []

STAT